Stack and Queue ADT

Lecture 16

by Marina Barsky

Recap: Abstract Data Types (ADT)

ADT includes:

- Specification:
 - What needs to be stored
 - What operations need to be supported
- Implementation:
 - Data structures and algorithms used to meet the specification

The difference between **specification** and **implementation** can be best explained on the example of *Stack* and *Queue* ADTs

Example 1: Abstraction for HR roster

We want to model the maintenance of the list of company employees

When the company grows - we should be able to add a new employee



Example 1: HR roster

When the company grows - we should be able to add a new employee



Example 1: HR roster

- When the company grows we should be able to add a new employee
- When the company downsizes we should be able to remove the last-added employee (seniority principle)



Example 1: HR roster

Requirements:

- When the company grows we should be able to add a new employee
- When the company downsizes we should be able to remove the last-added employee (seniority principle)



Abstraction of HR roster: Stack

- If these are the only important requirements to the HR roster, then we can model it using *Stack* Abstract Data Type
- Stack stores a sequence of elements and allows only 2 operations: adding a new element on top of the stack and removing the element from the top of the stack
- Thus, the elements are sorted by the time stamp from recent to older
- Stack is also called a LIFO queue (Last In -First Out)



Specification

Stack: Abstract data type which stores dynamic sequence and supports following operations:

- →Push(e): adds element to collection
 →Peek() [Top()]: returns most recentlyadded element
- →Pop(): removes and returns most recentlyadded element
- →Boolean *IsEmpty()*: are there any elements?
- →Boolean *IsFull()*: is there any space left?

ADT: Specification vs. implementation

Specification and **implementation** have to be disjoint:

- **One** specification
- **One or more** implementations
 - Using different data structures (Array? Linked List?)
 - Using different algorithms

size: 0 capacity: 5



size: 0 capacity: 5



size: 1 capacity: 5



size: 1 capacity: 5



Push(b)

size: 2 capacity: 5



size: 2 capacity: 5



$$Peek() \rightarrow b$$

size: 2 capacity: 5



Push(c)

size: 3 capacity: 5



size: 3 capacity: 5



Pop()

size: 2 capacity: 5



 $Pop() \rightarrow c$

size: 2 capacity: 5



Push(d)

size: 3 capacity: 5



size: 3 capacity: 5



Push(e)

size: <mark>4</mark> capacity: 5



size: 4 capacity: 5



Push(f)

size: <mark>5</mark> capacity: 5



size: 5 capacity: 5

Push(g)

size: 5 capacity: 5

ERROR
isFull() \rightarrow True

size: 5 capacity: 5

Pop()

size: <mark>4</mark> capacity: 5



 $IsEmpty \rightarrow False$

size: 4 capacity: 5

a b d e

Pop()

size: 3 capacity: 5



Pop()

size: 2 capacity: 5



size: 2 capacity: 5



Pop()

size: 1 capacity: 5



size: 1 capacity: 5



Pop()

size: 0 capacity: 5



 $IsEmpty() \rightarrow True$
Stack ADT: cost of operations

	Array Impl.	
Push(e)	O(1) ^{if no resize is needed}	
Peek()	O(1)	
Pop()	O(1)	
lsEmpty()	O(1)	
lsFull()	O(1)	









Push(b)





Push(c)





Peek()



 $Peek() \rightarrow c$



Pop()



 $Pop() \rightarrow c$



$IsEmpty() \rightarrow False$

Stack ADT: cost of operations

	Array Impl.	Link. List Impl.
Push(e)	O(1)	O(1)
Peek()	O(1)	O(1)
Pop()	O(1)	O(1)
lsEmpty()	O(1)	O(1)
lsFull()	O(1)	O(1)

Stack: Summary

- → ADT Stack can be implemented with either an Array or a Linked List Data structure
- → Each stack operation is O(1): Push, Pop, Peek, IsEmpty
- → Considerations:
 - Linked Lists have storage overhead
 - •
- Arrays need to be resized when full

Example 2: Abstraction for the Doctor Queue

We want to model a list of patients waiting in the Hospital ER

- When a new patient arrives we should be able to add him to the queue
- When the doctor calls for the next patient, we should be able to remove the patient from the front of the queue



Abstraction of Patient List: Queue

- If these are the only two required operations, then we can model the Doctor queue using a Queue ADT
- As in the Stack ADT, the elements in the Queue are also sorted by timestamp, but in a different order: from the earlier to the later
- ➤ This ADT is called a *FIFO Queue* (First In First Out)



Specification

Queue: Abstract Data Type which stores dynamic data and supports the following operations:

- → Enqueue(e): adds element e to collection
- → Peek()[Front()]: returns least recently-added (the oldest) key
- → Dequeue(): removes and returns least recently-added key
- → Boolean *IsEmpty(*): are there any elements?
- → Boolean *IsFull()*: is there any space left?





Enqueue(a)





Enqueue(b)





Enqueue(c)





Dequeue()



 $Dequeue() \rightarrow a$

- → Use Linked List augmented with the *tail* pointer
- → For Enqueue(e) use List.add(e) which adds an element at the end
- → For Dequeue() use list.removeFirst()
- → For IsEmpty() use (List.head == NULL?)

Queue ADT: cost of operations

	Link. List Impl. ^{with tail}	Array Impl.
Enqueue (e)	O(1)	
Dequeue()	O(1)	
lsEmpty()	O(1)	





Enqueue(a)





Enqueue(b)





Dequeue()



$$Dequeue() \rightarrow a$$



Enqueue(c)




Enqueue(d)





Dequeue()



$$Dequeue() \rightarrow b$$



Enqueue(e)

Concept of a Circular Array



Enqueue(e)

Concept of a Circular Array



Enqueue(e)

What will be the value of the read and write pointers after the operation is completed?



Enqueue(e)

A. read=2, write=5

- B. read=2, write=0
- C. read=0, write=0
- D. read=2, write=1
- E. none of the above







Enqueue(f)





Enqueue(g)



Enqueue(g) → ERROR
Cannot set read = write
isFull() → True



Dequeue()



$$Dequeue() \rightarrow C$$



Dequeue()



$$Dequeue() \rightarrow d$$



Dequeue()



$$Dequeue() \rightarrow e$$



Dequeue()



$$Dequeue() \rightarrow f$$



read==write IsEmpty() → True

- → *Queue* ADT can be implemented with a *circular* Array
- → We need 2 pointers (indexes in the array): read and write
- → When we enqueue(e) we add e at position write, and increment write. If write was at the last position, it wraps around to position 0
- → After enqueue(e) read and write cannot be equal because next time you write you would erase the first element of the queue pointed to by read
- → When we dequeue() we remove the element at position read, and increment read
- → If *read*==*write* then the queue is empty

Queue ADT: cost of operations

	Link. List Impl. ^{with tail}	Array Impl. ^{circular}
Enqueue (e)	O(1)	O(1) ^{amortized}
Dequeue()	O(1)	O(1)
lsEmpty()	O(1)	O(1)

Queue: Summary

- → Queue ADT can be implemented with either a Linked List (with tail) or an Array (Circular) Data structure
- → Each queue operation is O(1): Enqueue, Dequeue, IsEmpty
- → Considerations:
 - Linked Lists have unlimited storage
 - Arrays need to be resized when full
 - Linked Lists have simpler maintenance

Hide implementation details from users of ADT

Users of ADT:

- Aware of the specification only
 - Usage only based on the specified operations
- Do not care / need not know about the actual

implementation

 i.e. Different implementations should **not** affect the users of ADT



- Users only depend on specifications (interface):
 - Method signature and return type

ADT and encapsulation

- User programs **should not**:
 - Use the underlying data structure directly
 - Depend on implementation details



Sample application

Balanced Brackets Problem

Input A string str consisting of '(', ')', '[',
']','{', '}' characters.

Output: Return whether or not the string's parentheses and brackets are balanced.



Balanced:

```
"([])[]()",
    ``((([[])]))())"
Unbalanced:
    ``(]()″
    ``][″
    `` ( [ ) ] ″
    `` ( [ ] ″
```

Which ADT can help us to solve the problem of balanced brackets?

Stack?

List?

Sorted list?

Queue?



Is this solution correct?

```
stack = empty Stack()
```

```
for each character X in text:
    if X is one of `{`, `[`, `(`
        push X to the stack
    if X is one of `}`,`]`,`)`
    Y = stack.pop()
    if X does not match Y
        return "Unbalanced"
return "Balanced"
```





B. No

Is this solution correct?

```
stack = empty Stack()
```

```
for each character X in text:
    if X is one of `{`, `[`, `(`
        push X to the stack
    if X is one of `}`,`]`,`)`
    Y = stack.pop()
    if X does not match Y
        return "Unbalanced"
return "Balanced"
```





B. No

```
Try: text="[{ }"
```