Algorithms Bounding functions. Big Oh

Lecture 9 by Marina Barsky

Still exact analysis can be hard!

Best, worst, and average case are all difficult to deal with because the *precise* function details may be complicated:



It is easier to talk about *upper* and *lower bounds* of a function

Asymptotic notation (O, Θ , Ω) allows us to describe complexity functions in terms of these bounds

Bounding from above: Big Oh

f(n) = O(g(n)) if there are positive constants n_0 and c such that to the right of n_0 the value of f(n) always lies on or below $c \cdot g(n)$



Other bounding functions



- The definitions imply a constant n₀ beyond which they are satisfied
- We do not care about small values of *n*

Big Oh guarantees

• Big O guarantees that for a given input size *n* the algorithm never exceeds the value of some function on *n*

Big Oh ignores low order terms

• For Big-O analysis, we care more about the part that grows fastest as the input grows, because everything else is quickly becomes negligible small as *n* gets very large

Low order terms are quickly eclipsed by higher-order terms









Big Oh ignores constants

- For big values of *n*, the terms that contain variable *n* quickly dominate all the values that stay constant
- Thus to compare g(n) = 1000n and h(n)= 0.05n² we should ignore constants and compare O(n) with O(n²). The second algorithm is slower than the first

We ignore constants









Big Oh represents the rate of growth

- We use Big O Notation to talk about how quickly the runtime grows with the increase in the input size
- For example, let's say the algorithm runs in total 2n(n-1) steps
 - For one thing, for REALLY large values of n, such as n=1,000,000 2n(n-1) is pretty much the same thing as 2n².
 - For another, what happens if we increase the size of the list by a factor of *k*, from *n* to *kn*?
 - The number of basic operations will increase by a factor of $2(kn)^2/2n^2 = k^2$

Big Oh represents the rate of growth

- We use Big O Notation to talk about how quickly the runtime grows with the increase in the input size
- Big O bounds the speed of growth:

so we can say things like the runtime grows "on the order of the size of the input" (O(n)) or "on the order of the square of the size of the input" $(O(n^2))$

Reasoning about time complexity

- When you *intuitively* understand an algorithm, the reasoning about the run-time of an algorithm can be done in your head
- But it is usually much easier to estimate complexity given a precise-enough pseudocode (or a code)
- To get big-Oh:
 - Count all the elementary operations
 - Ignore (remove) lower order (i.e. slower growing) terms
 - Remove constant factors
- For example: $5n^3+3n^2+177$ is still O(n³)

What is O() of f(n) = n(n+1)/2

- A. O(n²)
- B. O(2n²)
- C. $O((n^2 + n)/2)$
- D. O(n³)
- E. I don't know



Let's do some Big-Oh analysis!

A. Algorithm that sums numbers from 1 to n

int A(int n) {
 int sum = 0;
 for (int i=1; i <= n; i++)
 sum += i;
 return sum;</pre>

A. O(log n)
B. O(n)
C. O(n²)
D. O(n+1)



A. Algorithm that sums numbers from 1 to n

int A(int n) {
 int sum = 0;
 for (int i=1; i <= n; i++)
 sum += i;
 return sum;
}
A. O(log n)
B. O(n)
C. O(n?)
D. O(n+1)</pre>

Answer B: One loop with n iterations. O(n). Answer D: O(n+1) is also correct, but we usually remove constants

B. Nested loop?

}



B. Nested loop?

Analysis: The inner for-loop (on j) always adds 5 numbers together, and the outer loop (on i) does this 2*n times. So this is O(5*2*n) = O(n). Answers B and D are both correct, but answer B is better.

C. Nested loop?

}

- A. O(n)
 B. O(n²)
 C. O(nⁿ)
 D. The answer
 - depends on the value of n



C. Nested loop?

r

Analysis: The inner loop (on j) has n steps It runs n times: for each value of i from 1 to n. Altogether this is $n+n+n+ \dots + n$ steps. So this is B: O(n^2).

D. Loops

D. Loops

```
int D(int n) {
  int sum = 0;
  for (int i=1; i <= n; i++)</pre>
                                         A. O(n)
     sum += i*i;
                                         B. O(n^2)
  for (int j=0; j < n; j++)
                                         C. O(n^3)
     sum-= j;
                                         D. O(n^n)
  for (int k = 0; k < 2*n; k++)
     sum = sum*k;
  return sum;
}
```

Analysis: Note that the loops are sequential, not nested. The loop on i does n additions. After it finished, the loop on j does n subtractions. Then the loop on k does 2n multiplications. Altogether there are 4n steps. This is A: O(n)

```
int E(int n) {
    int count = 0;
```

}

```
while (k <= n) {
    count = count + 1;
    k = k*2;
  }
j = j + 1;</pre>
```

- A. O(n³)
- B. $O(n \log^2 n)$
- C. O ($n^2 \sqrt{n}$)
- D. $O(n^2 \log n)$



E. While...

int E(int n) { int count = 0;A. O(n³) for (int i=n/2; i<n; i++) {</pre> B. $O(n \log^2 n)$ int j = 0;C. O (n² \sqrt{n}) while $(j + n/2 \le n)$ { D. $O(n^2 \log n)$ int k = 1;while $(k \le n)$ { count = count + 1;k = k*2;Analysis: 3 nested loops. We start with the } innermost loop – loop on k. It runs log n times The next loop is on j. It runs n/2 times j = j + 1;The outer loop also runs n/2 times. } The loops are nested so we multiply: $n/2*n/2*\log n$ $= O(n^2 \log n)$

```
F. Break
int F(int n) {
                                             A. O(n<sup>2</sup>)
   if (n == 1) return 1;
                                             B. O(n)
   for (int i = 0; i<n; i++) {</pre>
                                             C. O (1)
       for(int j= 0; j<n; j++) {</pre>
                                             D. O(2n)
            System.out.println("*");
           break;
       }
}
```

F. Break

```
int F(int n) {
    if (n == 1) return 1;
        A. O(n<sup>2</sup>)
        B. O(n)
    for (int i = 0; i<n; i++) {
        for(int j= 0; j<n; j++) {
            System.out.println("*");
            D. O(2n)
            break;
        }
    }
}</pre>
```

Correct answer is B