# Relational algebra queries 

## Lecture 02.02

By Marina Barsky

## Relational algebra queries

- Any query can be expressed using core operators: $\sigma, \pi, x, U,-$, $\rho$
- Derived operators: $\cap, \bowtie$
- Any RA Operator returns relation, so we can compose complex queries from known operators


## RA has Limitations !

- Cannot compute "transitive closure"

| Name1 | Name2 | Relationship |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fred | Mary | Father |
| Mary | Joe | Cousin |
| Mary | Bill | Spouse |
| Nancy | Lou | Sister |

- Find all direct and indirect relatives of Fred
- Cannot express in RA !!!
- Need to write C program, use a graph engine, or PLSQL...


## APPROACHES TO WRITING

 RELATIONAL ALGEBRA QUERIES
## Running example: Movies database

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc);

## Rules for simple queries

1. Find producer of 'Star wars'
2. Title and length of all Disney movies produced in year 1990
3. For each movie's title produce the name of this movie's producer

## Rule 1

- Ask yourself which relations need to be involved. Ignore the rest!
- Every time you combine relations, confirm that you specify the names of matching attributes (unless natural join)


## Rule 2. Write intermediate relations with attributes and sample data

- Remember that selection checks one tuple at a time.
- If you need info from two different tuples, you must make a new relation where all the required info is in one tuple.
- Use variable assignment to define this intermediate relation.
- To visualize:
- Draw an example of an intermediate relation with actual data in it.
- Use good names for new relations.
- Name the attributes on the LHS each time, so you don't forget what you have in hand.
- Add a comment explaining exactly what's in the relation.

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc);
4. Find all name pairs in form (movie star, movie producer) that live at the same address.

Star $=\rho_{\text {star,staraddress }}\left(\pi_{\text {name, address }}(\right.$ MovieStar $\left.)\right)$
Prod $=\rho_{\text {prod, prodaddress }}\left(\pi_{\text {name, address }}\right.$ (MovieExec))
$\pi_{\text {star,prod }}\left((\operatorname{Star}) \bowtie_{\text {staraddress }=\text { prodaddress AND star ! }}\right.$ prod $($ Prod $\left.)\right)$

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc)
5. Find all movies where there were only male actors.
MaleMovies_InclFemales(title, year)
$\pi_{\text {title, year }}\left(\sigma_{\text {gender='male' }}(\right.$ MovieStar $) \bowtie S$ StarsIn))

| MM_F | FM_M |
| :---: | :---: |
| title | title |
| A | A |
| B | D |
| C | E |
| D | F |

FemaleMovies_InclMales(title, year) $=$
$\pi_{\text {title, year }}\left(\sigma_{\text {gender='female' }}(\right.$ MovieStar $) \bowtie$ StarsIn))

MoviesMalesOnly = MM_F - FM_M

| MM |
| :--- |
| title |
| $B$ |
| $C$ |
|  |
|  |

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc);
6. Find the names of all producers who did NOT produce 'Star wars'
$\begin{array}{ll}>\text { Simple: } & \begin{array}{l}\pi_{\text {name }}(\text { MovieExec })- \\ \\ \pi_{\text {name }}\left((\text { Movie }) \bowtie_{\text {title='Star wars' AND producerC=cert }}(\text { MovieExec })\right)\end{array}\end{array}$
> More efficient (smaller Cartesian product)

$$
\pi_{\text {name }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {titte='Star wars' }}(\text { Movie })\right) \bowtie_{\text {producercl:=cert }}(\text { MovieExec })\right)
$$

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc);
7. Find names of producers that produced at least one movie for each of different studios: Disney and MGM
$\pi_{\text {name }}\left[\left(\sigma_{\text {studioName='Disney }}{ }^{\prime}(\right.\right.$ Movie $\left.)\right) \bowtie_{\text {producerC=cert }}($ MovieExec $\left.)\right]$
$\wedge$
$\pi_{\text {name }}\left[\left(\sigma_{\text {studioName='MGM' }}(\right.\right.$ Movie $\left.)\right) \bowtie_{\text {producerC=cert }}($ MovieExec $\left.)\right]$

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc);
8. Find all movie titles for which there is no producer entry in MovieExec table
$\pi_{\text {title }}($ Movie $)-\pi_{\text {title }}\left((\right.$ Movie $) \bowtie_{\text {producerC=cert }}($ MovieExec $\left.)\right)$

## Rule 3. Computing Max (min is analogous)

- Do self-product and find those that are not max
- Subtract from all to find the maxes

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc)
9. Find movie producers with max net worth. In case of ties, return the list of all such top producers.

- Rename MovieExec

Producers1 (name, networth) $=\rho \quad\left[\pi_{\text {name, networth }}\right.$ (MovieExec) $]$
Producers2 (name, networth) $=\rho \quad\left[\pi_{\text {name,networth }}\right.$ (MovieExec) $]$

- Pair all tuples in P1 with all other tuples in P2 (Cartesian product, not a join):
P1 x P2
- Select those that are not max, because there are some pairs where P1.networth < P2.networth

NotTop $=\sigma_{\text {P1.networth<P2.networth }}(P 1 \times P 2)$

Result $=\pi_{\text {name }}$ MovieExec $-\pi_{\text {name }}($ NotTop $)$

## Rule 4. Queries asking for "every"

- Make all combinations that include both every and some
- Subtract those that make it "not every". The result is those who failed "every".
- Subtract the failures from all to get a result

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc)
10. Find the names of movie stars who starred in every Disney movie

- First, for each star - all Disney movies they starred in:
StarsInDisney $=\pi_{\text {name, title }}\left(\right.$ StarsIn $\bowtie$ Movie $\left._{\text {studioName=`Disney }}\right)$
- Now need to find those stars who are not in every DisneyMovie, missing some.
- For this, we create all combinations of the above actors with the above movies:
allCombSD $=\pi_{\text {name }} S D \times \pi_{\text {title }} S D$


| SD |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name | title |
| A | $D$ |
| A | $E$ |
| $B$ | $D$ |
| $C$ | $E$ |


| allComb |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name | title |
| A | D |
| A | E |
| B | D |
| B | E |
| C | D |
| C | E |


| allComb |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name | title |
| A | D |
| A | E |
| B | D |
| B | E |
| C | D |
| C | E |


| SD |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name | title |
| $A$ | $D$ |
| $A$ | $E$ |
| $B$ | $D$ |
| $C$ | $E$ |

- How to remove those who are not in every movie? NotEvery $=\pi_{\text {name }}($ allComb-SD $)$
- Finally obtain stars who starred in every Disney movie: everyDisney $=\pi_{\text {name }}(S D)-$ NotEvery


## Rule 5. K or more

- Make $k$ Cartesian products with itself and select rows where all $k$ values are equal

Movie ( title, year, length, inColor, studioName, producerC)
MovieStar (name, address, gender, birthdate)
StarsIn (movieTitle, movieYear, starName)
MovieExec (name, address, cert, netWorth)
Studio (studioname, presc);
11. Find the names of all stars which starred in at least 2 movies (according to our database)

1. $\mathrm{S} 1=\rho_{\text {title1,year1,name1 }}$ (StarsIn)

S2 $=\rho_{\text {title2,year2,name2 }}(S t a r s \operatorname{In})$
2. (S1) $\bowtie_{\text {name1=name2 AND (title1 ! }}$ title2 or year1!=year2) $(\mathrm{S} 2)$

## Rule 6. Exactly k

- "k or more" - "( $k+1$ ) or more"

Movies

## EVEN MORE COMPLEX QUERIES

12. Find all name pairs in form (movie star, movie producer) that live at the same address. The same person can be both a star and a producer. Now, try to eliminate palindrome pairs: leave $(a, b)$ but not both $(a, b)$ and $(b, a)$.

12 - solution 1. Find all name pairs in form (movie star, movie producer) that live at the same address. The same person can be both a star and the producer. Now, try to eliminate palindrome pairs: leave $(a, b)$ but not both $(a, b)$ and $(b, a)$.

1. Star $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { star }}$ (MovieStar)

Prod $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { prod }}$ (MovieExec)
2. Pairs $=\pi_{\text {star,prod }}\left((\right.$ Star $) \bowtie_{\text {Star.address=Prod.address AND star!=prod }}($ Prod $\left.)\right)$
3. $\mathrm{PA}=\sigma_{\text {star }<\text { prod }}$ (Pairs) // Pairs in Ascending order PD $=\sigma_{\text {star>prod }}($ Pairs $) / /$ Pairs in Descending order

Example on the next page
4. $\quad$ Palindrome $=(P A) \bowtie_{\text {PA.star=PD.prod AND PA.prod=PD.star }}(P D)$
5. Pairs $-\pi_{\text {PD.star,PD.prod }}$ (Palindrome)

## Step 1. Renaming

| Star |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| star | addr |
| A | 1 |
| B | 1 |
| C | 2 |
| F | 3 |


| Prod |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| prod | addr |
| A | 1 |
| B | 1 |
| D | 2 |
| E | 3 |

1
Star $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { star }}$ (MovieStar)
Prod $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { prod }}$ (MovieExec)

| Star | Addr | Prod | Addr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | A | 1 |
| A | 1 | B | 1 |
| A | 1 | D | 2 |
| A | 1 | E | 3 |
| B | 1 | A | 1 |
| B | 1 | B | 1 |
| B | 1 | D | 2 |
| B | 1 | E | 3 |
| C | 2 | A | 1 |
| C | 2 | B | 1 |
| C | 2 | D | 2 |
| C | 2 | E | 3 |
| F | 3 | A | 1 |
| F | 3 | B | 1 |
| F | 3 | D | 2 |
| F | 3 | E | 3 |

## Step 2. Cartesian

 product: Star x Prod2. Pairs $=\pi_{\text {star,prod }}$ ((Star)
$\bowtie_{\text {Star.address=Prod.address AND star!!=prod }}$ (Prod))

| Pairs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| C | D |
| F | E |

## Step 3. Sorted pairs

| Pairs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| C | D |
| F | E |

3. $\mathrm{PA}=\sigma_{\text {star<prod }}$ (Pairs) // Pairs where Star $<$ Prod PD $=\sigma_{\text {star>prod }}($ Pairs $) / /$ Pairs where Star $>$ Prod

| PA |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| A | B |
| C | D |


| PD |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| B | A |
| F | E |

## Step 4. Cartesian product PA x PD

| PA |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| A | B |
| C | D |$\quad$| PD |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| B | A |
| F | E |


| Palyndrome (only colored tuple qualify) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PA.Star | PA.Prod | PD.Star | PD.Prod |
| A | B | B | A |
| A | B | F | E |
| C | D | B | A |
| C | D | F | E |

4. Palindrome $=(P A) \bowtie_{\text {PA.star=PD.prod AND PA.prod=PD.star }}(P D)$

## Step 5. Remove palindrome tuples from pairs

5. Pairs $-\pi_{\text {PD.star,PD.prod }}$ (Palindrome)

| Pairs |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| C | D |
| F | E |


| Palyndrome |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PA.Star | PA.Prod | PD.Star | PD.Prod |
| A | B | B | A |


| result |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Star | Prod |
| A | B |
| C | D |
| F | E |

## 12. Another solution proposed in class

## Star $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { star }}$ (MovieStar) <br> Prod $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { prod }}$ (MovieExec)

SP $=$ Star $\bowtie_{\text {Star.address=Prod.address AND star!=prod }}$ Prod PS $=$ Prod $\bowtie_{\text {Star.address=Prod.address AND star!=prod }}$ Star

PAIRS $=\quad \rho_{\text {star } \rightarrow \text { name1, prod } \rightarrow \text { name2 }}(S P)$
U
$\rho_{\text {prod } \rightarrow \text { name1, star } \rightarrow \text { name2 }}$ (PS)

> Example on the next page

Result $=\sigma_{\text {name1 }<\text { name2 }}$ (Pairs)

## Step 1. Renaming

The renaming is done for readability - to distinguish names:
MovieStar.name $\rightarrow$ Star.star
MovieExec.name $\rightarrow$ Prod.prod

| Star |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| star | addr |
| A | 1 |
| B | 1 |
| C | 2 |
| F | 3 |


| Prod |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| prod | addr |
| A | 1 |
| B | 1 |
| D | 2 |
| E | 3 |

Star $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { star }}$ (MovieStar) Prod $=\rho_{\text {name } \rightarrow \text { prod }}$ (MovieExec)

## Step 2. Join Star $\bowtie$ Prod and Prod $\bowtie$ Star on address

SP $=$ Star $\bowtie_{\text {Star.address=Prod.address AND star!=prod }}$ Prod
PS $=$ Prod $\bowtie_{\text {Star.address=Prod.address AND star!=prod }}$ Star

| Star |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| star | addr |
| A | 1 |
| B | 1 |
| C | 2 |
| F | 3 |


| Prod |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| prod | addr |
| A | 1 |
| B | 1 |
| D | 2 |
| E | 3 |


| SP |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| star | prod |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| C | D |
| F | E |


| PS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| prod | star |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| D | C |
| E | F |

## Step 3. Union (set union) of SP and PS

$$
\text { PAIRS }=\rho_{\text {star } \rightarrow \text { name1, prod } \rightarrow \text { name2 }}(S P) \cup \rho_{\text {prod } \rightarrow \text { name1, star } \rightarrow \text { name2 }}(P S)
$$

| SP |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| star | prod |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| C | D |
| F | E |


| PS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| prod | star |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| D | C |
| E | F |


| PAIRS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name1 | name 2 |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| C | D |
| F | E |
| D | C |
| E | F |

# Step 4. Select only one instance of palindrome pair - where name1<name2 

## Result $=\sigma_{\text {name1 }<\text { name2 }}$ (Pairs)

| PAIRS |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name1 | name 2 |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| C | D |
| F | E |
| D | C |
| E | F |


| Result |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| name1 | name 2 |
| A | B |
| C | D |
| E | F |

We don't know at this point who is a star and who is a producer, but we can later do the selection for each name in both tables to figure out if this is important for our query

## Homework database: Pizza

Person ( name, age, gender )
Frequents ( name, pizzeria )
Eats (name, pizza )
Serves ( pizzeria, pizza, price )

## Pizza

## TEST YOURSELF ON SIMPLE QUERIES

## Projections: Pizza

1. Find full information about all possible places and prices to get mushroom or pepperoni pizzas
2. Find name of pizzerias that serve mushroom or pepperoni pizzas
3. Compute the full list of pizza types, with the corresponding pizzerias and the price of pizza in cents

## Selections: Pizza

4. Find names of all customers under 18
5. Find names of all female customers older than 25

## Join: Pizza

6. Find all pizza types that both Amy and Dan eat
7. Find the names of all females who eat a mushroom pizza
8. Find the names of pizzerias where Hil can buy pizzas she eats for less than $10 \$$
