ADT and Data structures. Binary Search Tree

[Review 02.06] by Marina Barsky

Definition

Binary search tree is a binary tree with the following property:

for each node with key *x*, all the nodes in its **left subtree** have keys **smaller than** *x*, and all the keys in its **right subtree** are **greater or equal* to** *x*.



*To simplify the discussion we will assume that all keys are unique, so the keys in the right subtree are strictly greater than x

Which one is a Binary Search Tree?



В

Α

С

BST Node Parent BST Node: Key Key: 6 Left Right Right Left Optional: Parent

BST: read operations

- > Find (k): returns tree node with key k
- Successor (k): finds and returns the node in the tree with the smallest key among all keys greater than k - i.e. finds the node with the next to k key in the list of sorted keys
- Predecessor (k): same as successor, but from the left of k finds and returns the node with the key immediately preceding k in the sorted list of all keys
- Range (*Io*, *hi*): returns the list of all tree nodes with keys between *Io* and *hi* (inclusive)

All these operations do not modify the tree

Operation *Find*

Input: Key *k*, Root *R* of BST Output: The node with key *k* Algorithm Find (k, R)
if R is Null or R.Key = k:
 return R
if R.Key > k:
 return Find(k, R.Left)
else if R.Key < k:
 return Find(k, R.Right)</pre>

Also works for the case of missing key

Missing key: find(5, R)



Note: If you stop before reaching null pointer, you find the place in the tree where *k* would fit.

Given a node *N* in a Binary Search Tree - find nodes with adjacent keys

Operation Successor

Input: key k Output: The node in the tree with the next larger key.

Operation **Predecessor**

Input: key *k* Output: The node in the tree with the next smaller key.



Operation Successor

Input: key k Output: The node in the tree with the next larger key.

- We want to find the node with the key which is closest to *k* from above
- We would need a sub-operation *get_min* to solve this problem

Sub-operation: *get_min* (node *N*)



We want to find min key in a subtree rooted at N

Sub-operation: *get_min* (node *N*)



- ➤ We want to find min key in a subtree rooted at N
- Among all descendants of N the only keys that are < X are in the left subtree of N

Example: get_min (N)



- → Does node N have left child?
 Yes → there is a key smaller than 5
- → Set N to be the left child and ask the same question

Example: get_min (N)



- → Does node N have left child?
 Yes → there is a key smaller than 3
- → Set N to be the left child and ask the same question

Example: get_min (N)



→ Does node N have left child? No → there is no key smaller than N

Follow the leftmost path in the tree - until no more left child

```
Algorithm Get_min(N)
if N.Left = null:
  return N
else:
  return Get_min(N.Left)
```

Successor (k) First, find node N with key k Case 1: N has right child



In this situation all keys > k are in the right subtree of N Case 1: Node N has the right child, but also has a parent with p > k



- In this situation there are also keys > k in the parent of N and in the right subtree of the parent
- However we are looking for the smallest among these keys
- The min among all keys > k is again in the right subtree of N because the keys in this subtree are precisely between k and p

Case 1: Node N has the right child, but also has a parent with p > k



- The goal then becomes to find the smallest among all the keys in the right subtree of N
- ➤ Use get_min (N.right)

if k > R.Key: # continue searching for N
return Successor(k, R.Right)



→ Follow the left subtree: 5 < 14</p>



→ Follow the left subtree: 5 < 14</p>

 \rightarrow Found 5



- → Follow the left subtree: 5 < 14</p>
- \rightarrow Found 5
- \rightarrow N has right child



- → Follow the left subtree: 5 < 14</p>
- \rightarrow Found 5
- \rightarrow N has right child
- → Min in the subtree rooted at 9 is the successor of 5

successor (5, R) \rightarrow 8

Case 2: Node *N* with key *k* does not have the right child



- In this case the successor of N is among N's ancestors
- Namely the last time we took the turn to left subtree - the key at the root of this subtree is the successor of N
- If we do not have a parent field in our Node, then we cannot recover this parent
- Instead, we will keep track of the last parent when we took the left turn in the search for N

```
Algorithm Successor (k, R, S)
if R.Key = k : # found N
      if R.Right != null:
            return get min (R.Right)
      else:
            return S
if k < R.Key: # left turn
      S \leftarrow R \# remember the parent
      return Successor (k, R.Left, S)
if k > R.Key:
      return Successor (k, R.Right, S)
```

You start this algorithm with *R* = root of BST and *S* (successor) set to null



- → 10 has right subtree
- → Successor is the min in this right subtree: Successor (10) → 12



- → While searching for 6: we update a possible candidate for successor (first 10, then 7) because we do not know if N will have a right subtree or not
- → 6 does not have the right subtree
- → Successor is the last ancestor of 6 when we moved into the left subtree:

Successor (6) \rightarrow 7



- → While searching for 16: we never took the left turn
- → 16 does not have the right subtree
- → 16 also does not have a successor it is the largest key in the tree

Successor (16) \rightarrow null

Now that we know how to find a successor, we can solve the range query

Operation *Range*

Input: Numbers *x*, *y*, root *R* Output: A list of nodes with keys between *x* and *y* Algorithm RangeSearch (x, y, R)

 $L \leftarrow empty list$

$$\begin{split} N \leftarrow Find(x, R) \\ \text{while } N \text{ is not Null and } N. \text{Key} &\leq y \\ L \leftarrow L + N \\ N \leftarrow Successor (N. \text{Key, } R, \text{ Null}) \\ \text{return } L \end{split}$$





Result: 5



Result: 5, 6



Result: 5, 6, 7



Result: 5, 6, 7, 10



Result: 5, 6, 7, 10, 12



Result: 5, 6, 7, 10, 12

BST: update operations

Insert (k): creates a new node with key k and inserts it into the appropriate position of BST

Delete (k): deletes the node with key k such that the BST property of the tree is preserved

We already have all the sub-operations to implement these

Operation *Insert*

Input: Key k Output: Updated BST containing a new node N with key k Algorithm Find (k, R)
if R is Null or R.Key = k:
 return R
if R.Key > k:
 return Find(k, R.Left)
else if R.Key < k:
 return Find(k, R.Right)</pre>

We need to slightly modify *Find*

Algorithm *Insert* (k, R) if R != Null and R. Key = k: return ERROR if **R** is Null: return new Node(k)if k < R.Key: R.left = Insert(k, R.left) return **R** if k > R.Key: **R**.right = **Insert(** k, **R**.right) return R









Update right child of R and return updated **Operation Delete**

Input: Key k Output: BST without node N with key k

The most challenging algorithm in this module

Delete node *N* with key *k*



≻First, find N

Easy case (N has no children)
• Just detach N from the tree



≻First, find N

Easy case (N has no children)
• Just detach N from the tree



≻First, find N

Easy case (N has no children)
• Just detach N from the tree

Delete node *N* with key *k*



➤ Medium case (N has one child):

Just "splice out" node N

Its unique child assumes the position previously occupied by N – gets *promoted* to its place



➤ Medium case (N has one child):

Just "splice out" node N

Its unique child assumes the position previously occupied by N – gets *promoted* to its place



➤ Medium case (N has one child):

Just "splice out" node N

Its unique child assumes the position previously occupied by N – gets *promoted* to its place

Delete node *N* with key *k*





➤ Difficult case (N has 2 children):

• Promote 1?



➤ Difficult case (N has 2 children):

• Promote 1?



➤ Difficult case (N has 2 children):

o Promote 5?



➤ Difficult case (N has 2 children):

o Promote 5?

Delete node *N* with key *k*: difficult case



- We want to make as little changes to the tree structure as possible
- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)

Delete node *N* with key *k*: difficult case



- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
- Luckily we know that N has the right child
- To find successor look for a min in its right subtree



- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
- To find successor look for a min in its right subtree



- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
- To find successor look for a min in its right subtree
- Swap values in N and its successor



- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
- To find successor look for a min in its right subtree
- Swap values in N and its successor



- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
- To find successor look for a min in its right subtree
- Swap values in N and its successor
- Remove successor: this would be easy - why?



➤ Difficult case (N has 2 children):

- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
- To find successor look for a min in its right subtree
- Swap values in N and its successor
- Remove successor: this would be easy - why?

The successor **does not have a left child!**

(it was a min in the right subtree - which was the last possible left node)