# Binary Search Trees 

Lecture 02.11<br>by Marina Barsky

## Definition

Binary search tree is a binary tree with the following property:
for each node with key $\boldsymbol{x}$, all the nodes in its left subtree have keys smaller than $x$, and all the keys in its right subtree are greater or equal* to $x$.

*To simplify the discussion we will assume that all keys are unique, so the keys in the right subtree are strictly greater than $x$

## Which one is a Binary Search Tree?



A
B
C

## Which one is a Binary Search Tree?



## BST Node

BST Node:
Key
Left
Right
Optional: Parent


## BST: read operations

> Find (k): returns tree node with key $k$
$>$ Successor (k): finds and returns the node in the tree with the smallest key among all keys greater than $k$-i.e. finds the node with the next to $k$ key in the list of sorted keys
$>$ Predecessor ( $k$ ): same as successor, but from the left of $k$ finds and returns the node with the key immediately preceding $k$ in the sorted list of all keys
$>$ Range (lo, hi): returns the list of all tree nodes with keys between lo and hi (inclusive)

All these operations do not modify the tree

## Operation Find

Input: Key $k$, Root $R$ of BST
Output: The node with key $k$

## Example: find (6, root R)


$6<7$
Root becomes left child of 7

## Example: find (6, BST root R)


$6>4$
Root becomes right child of 4

## Example: find (6, BST root R)



# Algorithm Find ( $k, R$ ) <br> if $R$.Key $=k$ : return $R$ <br> if R.Key >k: <br> return $\boldsymbol{F i n d}(k$, R.Left) <br> else if R.Key < $k$ : <br> return Find(k, R.Right) 

Recursive algorithms are common But all these algorithms can be implemented without recursion

## Example: find $(5, R)$



Missing key: return Null

Updated for the case of missing key

```
Algorithm Find (k, R)
if R is Null or R.Key = k:
    return R
if R.Key > k:
    return Find(k, R.Left)
else if R.Key < k:
    return Find(k, R.Right)
```


## Missing key: find(5, R)



Note: If you stop before reaching null pointer, you find the place in the tree where $k$ would fit.

## Given a node $N$ in a Binary Search Tree <br> - find nodes with adjacent keys

## Operation Successor

Input: key $k$
Output: The node in the tree with the next larger key.

## Operation Predecessor

Input: key $k$
Output: The node in the tree with the next smaller key.


## Operation Successor <br> Input: key k <br> Output: The node in the tree with the next larger key.

- We want to find the node with the key which is closest to $k$ from above
- We would need a sub-operation get_min to solve this problem


## Sub-operation: get_min (node $N$ )


> We want to find min key in a subtree rooted at $N$

## Sub-operation: get_min (node N)


> We want to find min key in a subtree rooted at $N$
> Among all descendants of $N$ the only keys that are $<X$ are in the left subtree of N

## Example: get_min (N)


$\rightarrow$ Does node N have left child?
Yes $\rightarrow$ there is a
key smaller than 5
$\rightarrow$ Set $N$ to be the left child and ask the same question

## Example: get_min (N)


$\rightarrow$ Does node N have left child?
Yes $\rightarrow$ there is a
key smaller than 3
$\rightarrow$ Set $N$ to be the left child and ask the same question

## Example: get_min (N)


$\rightarrow$ Does node N have left child?
No $\rightarrow$ there is no
key smaller than N

Follow the leftmost path in the tree - until no more left child

## Algorithm Get_min (N)

if N.Left = null:
return N
else:
return Get_min (N.Left)

## Successor (k)

First, find node $N$ with key $k$

## Case 1: $N$ has right child


> In this situation all keys > $k$ are in the right subtree of $N$

## Case 1: Node $N$ has the right child, but also has a parent with $p>k$


$>$ In this situation there are also keys $>k$ in the parent of $N$ and in the right subtree of the parent
> However we are looking for the smallest among these keys
$>$ The min among all keys $>k$ is again in the right subtree of $N$ because the keys in this subtree are precisely between $k$ and $p$

## Case 1: Node N has the right child, but also has a parent with $p>k$


$>$ The goal then becomes to find the smallest among all the keys in the right subtree of $N$
> Use get_min (N.right)

Algorithm Successor ( $k, R$ )
if R.Key = k: \# found $N$
if R.Right!= null:
return Get_min (R.Right)
if $k<R$. Key: \# continue searching for $N$ return Successor (k, R.Left)
if $k>R$. Key : \# continue searching for $N$ return Successor ( $k$, R.Right)

## Example: successor (5, R)



## Example: successor (5, R)



## Example: successor (5, R)


$\rightarrow$ Follow the left subtree:
$5<14$
$\rightarrow$ Found 5
$\rightarrow N$ has right child

## Example: successor (5, R)


$\rightarrow$ Follow the left subtree: $5<14$
$\rightarrow$ Found 5
$\rightarrow N$ has right child
$\rightarrow$ Min in the subtree rooted at 9 is the successor of 5
successor $(5, R) \rightarrow 8$

## Case 2: Node $N$ with key $k$ does not have the right child


$>$ In this case the successor of $N$ is among $N$ 's ancestors
$>$ Namely the last time we took the turn to left subtree - the key at the root of this subtree is the successor of $N$
$>$ If we do not have a parent field in our Node, then we cannot recover this parent
> Instead, we will keep track of the last parent when we took the left turn in the search for $N$

```
Algorithm Successor ( }k,R,S
if R.Key = k: # found N
    if R.Right!= null:
    return Get_min (R.Right)
    else:
        return S
if k<R.Key:# left turn
    S}\leftarrowR# remember the paren
    return Successor (k, R.Left,S)
if k>R.Key:
    return Successor(k, R.Right,S)
```

You start this algorithm with $R=$ root of BST and $S$ (successor) set to null

## Example: Successor (10, R)

$\rightarrow 10$ has right subtree
$\rightarrow$ Successor is the min in this right subtree:
Successor (10) $\rightarrow 12$

## Example: Successor (6, R)


$\rightarrow$ While searching for 6: we update a possible candidate for successor (first 10, then 7) - because we do not know if $N$ will have a right subtree or not
$\rightarrow 6$ does not have the right subtree
$\rightarrow$ Successor is the last ancestor of 6 when we moved into the left subtree:

Successor (6) $\rightarrow 7$

## Example: Successor (16, R)


$\rightarrow$ While searching for 16: we never took the left turn
$\rightarrow 16$ does not have the right subtree
$\rightarrow 16$ also does not have a successor - it is the largest key in the tree

Successor (16) $\rightarrow$ null

Now that we know how to find a successor, we can solve the range query

## Operation Range

Input: Numbers $x, y$, root $R$
Output: A list of nodes with keys between $x$ and $y$

## Algorithm RangeSearch ( $x, y, R$ )

$L \leftarrow$ empty list
$N \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\operatorname { F i n d }}(x, R)$
while $N$ is not Null and $N$.Key $\leq y$
$L \leftarrow L+N$
$N \leftarrow \operatorname{Successor}$ (N.Key, R, Null)
return $L$

Example: range search $(5,13)$


## Example: range search $(5,13)$



Result: 5

## Example: range search $(5,13)$



Result: 5, 6

## Example: range search $(5,13)$



Result: 5, 6, 7

## Example: range search $(5,13)$



Result: 5, 6, 7, 10

## Example: range search $(5,13)$



Result: 5, 6, 7, 10, 12

## Example: range search $(5,13)$



Result: 5, 6, 7, 10, 12

## BST: update operations

> Insert ( $k$ ): creates a new node with key $k$ and inserts it into the appropriate position of BST
$>$ Delete ( $k$ ): deletes the node with key $k$ such that the BST property of the tree is preserved

We already have all the sub-operations to implement these

## Operation Insert

Input: Key k
Output: Updated BST containing a new node $N$ with key $k$

```
Algorithm Find (k, R)
if R is Null or R.Key = k:
    return R
if R.Key > k:
    return Find(k, R.Left)
else if R.Key < k:
    return Find(k, R.Right)
```

We need to slightly modify Find

Algorithm Insert ( $k, R$ )
if $R!=$ Null and $R$.Key $=k$ :
return $E R R O R$
if $R$ is Null: return new Node(k)
if k < R.Key:
R.left $=\boldsymbol{\operatorname { I n s e r }} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { s e n }}(k, \operatorname{R} . \mathrm{fef})$
return $R$
if k > R.Key: R.right $=\boldsymbol{I n s e r t}(k, R . r i g h t)$
return $R$

## Example: insert (16, R)



## Example: insert (16, R)



## Example: insert (16, R)



## Example: insert (16, R)



Update right child of $R$ and return updated node 14

## Example: insert (6, R)



## Example: insert (6, R)



## Operation Delete

Input: Key $k$<br>Output: BST without node $N$ with key $k$

The most challenging algorithm in this module

## Delete node $N$ with key $k$


$>$ First, find $N$
>Easy case ( $N$ has no children)
o Just detach $N$ from the tree

## Example: delete(4)


$>$ First, find $N$
$>$ Easy case ( $N$ has no children)
o Just detach $N$ from the tree

## Example: delete(4)



# $>$ First, find $N$ <br> -Easy case ( $N$ has no children) <br> o Just detach $N$ from the tree 

## Delete node $N$ with key $k$


$\Rightarrow$ Medium case ( N has one child): Just "splice out" node $N$

- Its unique child assumes the position previously occupied by $N$-gets promoted to its place


## Example: delete(1)


$\Rightarrow$ Medium case ( N has one child):
Just "splice out" node $N$

- Its unique child assumes the position previously occupied by $N$-gets promoted to its place


## Example: delete(1)


$\Rightarrow$ Medium case ( N has one child): Just "splice out" node $N$

- Its unique child assumes the position previously occupied by $N$-gets promoted to its place


## Delete node $N$ with key $k$



Difficult case ( N has 2 children):

## Example: delete(3)


$\triangleright$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):
o Promote 1?

## Example: delete(3)


$\Rightarrow$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):
o Promote 1?

## Example: delete(3)



D Difficult case ( N has 2 children):

- Promote 5?


## Example: delete(3)


$>$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):

- Promote 5?


## Delete node $N$ with key $k$ : difficult case



ค Difficult case ( N has 2 children):
o We want to make as little changes to the tree structure as possible
o Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)

## Delete node $N$ with key $k$ : difficult case


$\rightarrow$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):
o Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)

- Luckily we know that N has the right child
o To find successor - look for a min in its right subtree


## Example: delete(3)


$\Rightarrow$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):

- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
o To find successor - look for a min in its right subtree


## Example: delete(3)


$>$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):

- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
o To find successor - look for a min in its right subtree
- Swap values in N and its successor


## Example: delete(3)


$\rightarrow$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):

- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
o To find successor - look for a min in its right subtree
- Swap values in N and its successor


## Example: delete(3)


$\rightarrow$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):

- Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
o To find successor - look for a min in its right subtree
- Swap values in N and its successor
o Remove successor: this would be easy - why?


## Example: delete(3)


$\Rightarrow$ Difficult case ( N has 2 children):
o Replace node N with its successor (with the next largest key)
o To find successor - look for a min in its right subtree

- Swap values in N and its successor
o Remove successor: this would be easy - why?
The successor does not have a left child!
(it was a min in the right subtree - which was the last possible left node)

